



P.L.RAJ IAS & IPS ACADEMY

Building Bureaucrats Since 2006

EDITORIAL OF THE DAY-03.06.2026

INDEX

1. India-China Boundary Talks – The Risks of an ‘Early Harvest’ In Sikkim (TH)	-01
2. From Ladakh, A Sea Buckthorn Parable About Enterprise (IE)	-04
3. Deadly Duty – India’s Forest Guardians Under Siege (TP)	-07
4. Born Guilty (TS)	-10

1. India-China Boundary Talks – The Risks of an ‘Early Harvest’ In Sikkim (TH)

GS Paper II – International Relations / India and its Neighbourhood- Relations

Topic – India and its neighbourhood relations; Effect of policies and politics of developed and developing countries on India’s interests; Security challenges and their management in border areas.

Sub-Topic – India-China Boundary Dispute, "Early Harvest" Strategy vs. Package Settlement, 2005 Guiding Principles Agreement, Trijunction Dynamics (Dhokla/Batang La), and Siliguri Corridor Vulnerability.

1. Introduction

In 2017, then Chinese Ambassador to India, Luo Zhaohui, proposed an "early harvest" framework for India-China boundary negotiations, which New Delhi initially rejected with deep skepticism. The proposal sought to isolate and settle the Sikkim boundary sector independently from the three other contested border sectors. This issue resurfaced during the 24th round of the Special Representatives' (SR) Dialogue on August 19, 2025, between National Security Adviser Ajit Doval and Chinese Foreign Minister Wang Yi, where India agreed to set up an "Expert Group... to explore an early harvest in boundary delimitation". Further follow-up occurred during the 35th Working Mechanism for Consultation and Coordination (WMCC) meeting in Beijing on May 27, 2026, which explicitly discussed "delimitation". Strategic experts warn that treating individual sectors in isolation risks trading long-term security interests for temporary diplomatic optics under Chinese pressure.

2. The Structural Conflict – "Early Harvest" vs. 2005 Package Architecture

The core diplomatic disagreement centers on the sequence and scope of border resolution –

The 2005 Cornerstone – The *Agreement on Political Parameters and Guiding Principles*, signed on April 11, 2005, forms the basis of the SR negotiations.

The Package Settlement Mandate – Article III of the 2005 Agreement explicitly mandates a single "package settlement" covering all sectors of the India-China boundary. It establishes a strict three-step process – first agreeing on political parameters, then establishing a framework for a final settlement, and only then moving to delineation and physical demarcation.

The Chinese Inversion Strategy – China's contemporary readouts favour launching "boundary demarcation negotiations in sectors where conditions are ripe". This approach inverts the agreed sequence by placing physical demarcation before a comprehensive framework is settled.

Why the Package Matters – The four sectors of the India–China boundary are strategically linked, requiring reciprocal adjustments across different regions. An "early harvest" allows Beijing to extract concessions sector by sector, banking a settlement in areas where India holds a geographic advantage while leaving high–conflict zones unresolved.

3. The High Strategic Stakes of the Sikkim Sector

Settling the Sikkim sector in isolation presents significant geopolitical risks for India's territorial integrity –

Historical Friction – The Sikkim boundary is not a simple, resolved line, as demonstrated by the high–casualty military clashes at Nath La and Cho La in 1967.

The Trijunction Dispute – Article I of the 1890 Great Britain–China Convention states that the boundary should follow the mountain crest separating the waters flowing into the Sikkim Teesta from the Tibetan Mochu, identifying "Mount Gipmochi" as the trijunction on the Bhutan frontier.

1. **China's Position** – Beijing reads this text strictly to place the trijunction at Mount Gipmochi.
2. **India and Bhutan's Position** – New Delhi and Thimphu maintain that the true trijunction lies at **Batang La**, roughly 6.5 kilometres north, which accurately follows the operative watershed geographical principle stated in the treaty.

The Threat to the Siliguri Corridor – The Zompelri (Jampheri) Ridge, adjacent to Geymochen, directly overlooks the **Siliguri Corridor**—the narrow 22–kilometer strip of land connecting mainland India to its north–eastern states. Accepting the Gipmochi trijunction would give China a legal tool to extend its presence to the edge of the Himalayas, expanding the Chiumbi Valley and threatening India's primary territorial vulnerability.

4. The Dhokla and Bhutan Dimension

An isolated settlement in Sikkim could allow China to bypass trilateral border agreements –

Consolidation in Western Bhutan – Since the 2017 standoff, China has altered the status quo in western Bhutan by building roads, military facilities, and villages (such as Pangda on the Amo Chu river) to develop alternative routes to the Jampheri Ridge.

The Trilateral Principle – India and China have formally agreed that border trijunction points must be finalized through trilateral consultations with all affected countries.

The Fait Accompli Risk – If India settles the Sikkim sector independently, Beijing could use that leverage to pressure a vulnerable Bhutan into settling its own bilateral boundary dispute on Chinese terms. This would leave the trijunction point unresolved while presenting India with a *fait accompli* in Dhokla.

5. China's Multi-Track Pressure Strategy

Since the Eastern Ladakh transgressions of 2020, China has maintained simultaneous geopolitical pressure points against India –

1. Continuous military infrastructure consolidation directly along the Line of Actual Control (LAC).
2. The systematic revival of the "Zang nan" (South Tibet) historical narrative to claim Arunachal Pradesh.
3. Multiple rounds of renaming geographical locations within Arunachal Pradesh.
4. Building and expanding fortified "border defence villages" close to the India–China LAC.

6. Guiding Principles for India's Future Negotiating Framework

To protect its long–term strategic interests, India's border diplomacy should follow three core principles –

Preserving the 2005 Framework – The Expert Group formed in August 2025 must not be used to accept a standalone early harvest or demarcation exercise in Sikkim. Agreeing to explore options does not equal a commitment to accept an inverted process.

Non-Negotiable LAC Tranquillity – Progress in the broader bilateral relationship must remain tied to maintaining peace and tranquillity along the LAC. Unilateral changes to the border cannot be normalized, and the temporary "buffer zones" in Eastern Ladakh must not be allowed to become permanent arrangements.

Insisting on Comprehensive Political Engagement – Resuming negotiations requires looking past purely legal arguments toward a comprehensive political settlement that protects the interests of settled populations along the border. The test of China's seriousness lies in its willingness to engage on a package settlement while keeping the LAC stable.

Conclusion

China has a documented history of resiling from specific border commitments, such as its previous withdrawal from an agreement to clarify the LAC through the exchange of maps. If maintaining peace along the LAC is the foundation for rebuilding India-China relations, the 2005 Agreement remains the roadmap for resolving the boundary dispute. India has little to gain from a shortcut or an isolated "early harvest" in Sikkim and must maintain its diplomatic leverage through a comprehensive, all-sector negotiation.

Source – <https://www.thehindu.com/opinion/lead/the-harvest-china-wants-is-one-india-cannot-afford/article71053820.ece>

Question

"The proposal for a sector-by-sector 'early harvest' in India-China boundary negotiations conflicts with the established principle of a comprehensive package settlement, creating significant strategic risks for India's territorial security. Comment on this statement with particular reference to the strategic vulnerabilities of the Sikkim sector." (250 Words, 15 Marks)

1. Introduction

Recent border discussions under the Working Mechanism for Consultation and Coordination (WMCC) have renewed focus on exploring an "early harvest" in boundary delimitation. While this approach is framed as a pragmatic way to achieve incremental progress, it conflicts with Article III of the 2005 *Agreement on Political Parameters and Guiding Principles*, which mandates a unified "package settlement" covering all border sectors simultaneously.

2. The Inherent Strategic Risks of an "Early Harvest"

An isolated, sector-by-sector boundary resolution introduces several key vulnerabilities into India's negotiating framework –

Loss of Reciprocal Bargaining Leverage – The distinct sectors of the India-China border are structurally linked within negotiations. Settling the Sikkim sector independently—where India holds a comparative geographic advantage—allows Beijing to lock in a favourable settlement while retaining its leverage in high-conflict sectors like Eastern Ladakh and Arunachal Pradesh.

Inverting the Negotiating Sequence – The 2005 framework requires defining an overall political framework before beginning physical boundary demarcation. China's focus on immediate demarcation in "ripe" sectors seeks to bypass this package architecture for short-term diplomatic optics.

3. Strategic Vulnerabilities of the Sikkim Sector

Isolating the Sikkim sector directly impacts India's most critical territorial choke points –

The Trijunction and Siliguri Corridor – China claims the trijunction sits at Mount Gipmochi based on its reading of the 1890 Convention, while India and Bhutan place it at Batang La along the natural watershed. Accepting the Gipmochi baseline would give Beijing a legal tool to extend its presence to the

Jampheri Ridge. This position overlooks the Siliguri Corridor, the narrow 22-kilometer strip connecting mainland India to the north-eastern states, exposing a key territorial vulnerability.

The Bhutan Dimension – A standalone Sikkim settlement allows China to pressure a vulnerable Bhutan into settling its bilateral northern borders independently. These risks presenting India with a *fait accompli* in the strategic Dhokla region, despite existing commitments to finalize trijunctions through trilateral consultation.

Conclusion

India's past experiences—including Beijing's withdrawal from previous agreements to clarify the LAC through map exchanges—highlight the risks of ad-hoc border diplomacy. Maintaining long-term security requires sticking to the 2005 package framework. By keeping border progress tied to peace along the LAC and resisting standalone shortcuts in Sikkim, India can protect its strategic geography and secure a balanced, all-sector boundary resolution.

Source – <https://www.thehindu.com/opinion/lead/the-harvest-china-wants-is-one-india-cannot-afford/article71053820.ece>

2. From Ladakh, A Sea Buckthorn Parable About Enterprise (IE)

GS Paper III – Indian Economy and Agriculture

Topic – Food processing and related industries in India- scope and significance, location, upstream and downstream requirements, supply chain management.

Sub-Topic – Micro Food Processing Enterprises, Pradhan Mantri Formalisation of Micro Food Processing Enterprises (PMFME) Scheme, Supply Chain Logistics in High-Altitude Terrains, Self-Help Group (SHG) Bank Linkages, and Common Infrastructure Development.

1. Introduction

A field assessment from Tirith, a village in the Nubira Valley of Ladakh reached by crossing the high-altitude Khar dung La pass, highlights the unique economic realities of India's remote border areas. In these isolated terrains, factors such as extreme altitude, volatile weather, and immense geographic distance are built directly into the cost of every product leaving the valley.

While mainstream industrial discourse discusses food processing using the language of large-scale automated plants and mega export targets, localized micro-enterprise in frontier regions provides an immediate response to survival and economic necessity. Utilizing indigenous crops like sea buckthorn, small-scale processing models show how institutional policy can transform remote, fragile economies into centres of sustainable, high-value growth.

2. The Sea Buckthorn Paradox – Dual Vulnerabilities and Opportunities

Sea buckthorn concentrates both extreme agricultural difficulties and unique economic opportunities within a single plant –

The Harsh Growing Environment – The hardy shrub grows exclusively over cold, thorny, and high-altitude ground, making harvesting physically difficult.

Physical Fragility of the Input – The harvested berries are small, soft, and exceptionally hard to pick without causing damage.

The Short Harvesting Window – The crop features a brief autumn harvesting window. Once the fruit is off the bush, it is highly perishable and cannot wait for transportation to distant urban processing hubs.

The Core Logistic Rule – The crop is brief, the roads are long, and the berry cannot wait. Any delay in cleaning, pulping, drying, or preserving the harvest degrades quality and lowers prices. Therefore, the first step of industrial processing must be brought directly to the source of the harvest.

3. The Micro-Enterprise Human Case Study

The text highlights the transformative power of localized value addition through the journey of a local entrepreneur, Dechen Angmo, who runs K-Top Food Processing in Leh –

Socio-Economic Upward Mobility – A few years ago, she earned a low wage of ₹8,000 a month as a casual laborer.

Local Sourcing Model – She now buys sea buckthorn berries directly from local collectors, turns them into high-value juices, pulps, jams, and dried berries, and sells them under her own brand name to markets well beyond the Union Territory.

The Multi-Crore Scale – Backed by state support, her unit has grown into a thriving enterprise with a turnover in crores, employing local workers and sustaining a supply chain that supports neighbourhood collectors and families.

4. Institutional Interventions – Deconstructing PMFME Execution in Ladakh

To make these success stories less rare, local processors require structured access to finance, advanced machinery, and formal marketing support before perishable crops rot. The Pradhan Mantri Formalisation of Micro Food Processing Enterprises (PMFME) Scheme has filled this gap across Ladakh through specific interventions –

Capital Disbursal Metrics – Under the PMFME scheme, 101 loans have been formally sanctioned, with 89 already disbursed to micro food processing units across the Union Territory.

Self-Help Group Integration – The scheme has approved ₹1.81 crore in seed capital assistance directly to 651 local Self-Help Group (SHG) members, bringing women-led groups into formal manufacturing.

Advanced Technology Adoption – Entrepreneurs have used this credit to invest in localized machinery, including mobile aseptic processing units, which allow for rapid packaging and preserve pulp quality at the harvest site.

5. Resolving the Infrastructure Gap Through Shared Facilities

While an individual micro-enterprise can handle basic crop processing, it rarely possesses the capital to independently manage long-term storage, scientific testing, advanced packaging, and global branding. PMFME addresses this bottleneck by investing in common infrastructure –

Common Incubation Centres – The scheme has approved dedicated common incubation centers, specifically for sea buckthorn processing in Leh and apricot processing in Kargil. These centers give small-scale producers access to industrial facilities that would otherwise be beyond their financial reach.

Branding and Identity Anchors – To build collective market power and consumer recognition, the scheme provides dedicated marketing and branding support, organizing local products under registered identity lines –

1. Sea buckthorn products are marketed under the “Wonder Berry” brand.
2. Apricot products are organized under the “Kargil Gold” brand.

6. Policy Imperatives and the Way Forward

Moving Beyond Single Success Stories – The ultimate goal of national food processing policy in border regions must shift from celebrating isolated success stories to systematically replicating these micro-enterprise models across every remote valley.

Tailoring Assistance to Actual Needs – Financial and technological aid must match the specific biological requirements of local crops and regional geographic constraints rather than using generic, one-size-fits-all models.

Securing the Front-End Supply Chain – Linking decentralized valley-level processing units directly with national e-commerce grids and cold-chain logistics to prevent transport delays along high-altitude transit roads.

Source – <https://indianexpress.com/article/opinion/columns/chirag-paswan-writes-from-ladakh-a-sea-buckthorn-parable-about-enterprise-10721053/>

Question

"The formalization of micro-scale food processing enterprises in India's high-altitude border regions functions as a tool for economic survival and regional development. Enumerate the supply-chain bottlenecks unique to frontier crops like Ladakh's sea buckthorn, and discuss how institutional frameworks under the PMFME scheme can resolve these challenges." (250 Words, 15 Marks)

1. Introduction

In remote border regions like Ladakh, geographical factors such as high altitude, severe weather, and immense distance are built directly into the production costs of local goods. For unique high-value frontier crops like sea buckthorn, establishing decentralized, micro-scale food processing units serves as an essential tool for economic development and rural survival, transforming local agricultural potential into a sustainable source of livelihood.

2. Supply-Chain Bottlenecks Unique to Frontier Crops

Frontier crops in high-altitude terrains face several interconnected supply-chain constraints –

Physical Harvester Constraints – Sea buckthorn grows on thorny, sub-zero terrain; its berries are small, soft, and fragile, requiring intensive, careful manual harvesting.

Extreme Perishability Risk – The plant features a short autumn harvesting window. Once picked, the fruit quickly degrades in quality, meaning any transport delay directly reduces its market price.

The Logistics Deficit – Due to long, fragile mountain transit roads and a short crop window, the berries cannot wait for transport to distant urban centres. This requires bringing primary processing infrastructure directly to the harvest site.

Lack of Independent Processing Assets – Small-scale farmers rarely possess the private capital to invest in advanced preservation technology, professional packaging, or cross-border marketing networks.

3. Resolving Challenges via the PMFME Institutional Framework

The *Pradhan Mantri Formalisation of Micro Food Processing Enterprises (PMFME)* scheme provides targeted support to address these bottlenecks –

Decentralized Credit and Financial Access – By sanctioning over 101 loans and providing ₹1.81 crore in seed capital assistance to 651 local Self-Help Group (SHG) members, the scheme brings marginalized workers into formal manufacturing.

Investing in Localized Processing Machinery – Financial assistance enables entrepreneurs to purchase advanced equipment, such as mobile aseptic processing units, allowing for rapid pulp preservation close to remote valley harvests.

Developing Shared Infrastructure – The creation of state-funded Common Incubation Centres—such as the sea buckthorn facility in Leh and the apricot unit in Cargill—gives micro-processors access to professional testing and storage infrastructure without high capital costs.

Building Collective Brands – The framework helps small-scale farmers build collective market power by organizing and marketing local products under registered regional brands like “Wonder Berry” and “Cargill Gold”.

Conclusion

The development of sea buckthorn micro-enterprises in Ladakh shows how public policy can adapt to the needs of difficult geographic regions. Replicating this model across other remote frontier valleys can help reduce regional disparities, formalize informal labour, and build a more resilient, self-reliant rural economy.

Source – <https://indianexpress.com/article/opinion/columns/chirag-paswan-writes-from-ladakh-a-sea-buckthorn-parable-about-enterprise-10721053/>

3. Deadly Duty – India's Forest Guardians Under Siege (TP)

General Studies Paper – General Studies Paper III – Environment, Ecology and Biodiversity

Topic – Forest Conservation and Management

Sub-Topic – Environmental Governance

Introduction

Forests are vital for biodiversity conservation, climate regulation, water security, and livelihood support. The responsibility of protecting these ecosystems largely rests on frontline forest personnel such as forest guards, foresters, and range officers. However, increasing environmental crimes, human pressures, and institutional weaknesses have made forest protection a challenging and often dangerous task.

1. Importance of Forest Frontline Staff

First Line of Environmental Protection

1. Prevent illegal logging, poaching, and encroachment.
2. Monitor wildlife habitats and biodiversity.

Conservation and Ecosystem Management

1. Assist in forest regeneration and habitat restoration.
2. Support wildfire prevention and disaster response.

Community Interface

1. Engage with local communities and forest-dependent populations.
2. Facilitate implementation of conservation programmes.

2. Emerging Threats to Forest Personnel

Organized Environmental Crime

1. Illegal timber trade and wildlife trafficking networks have become more sophisticated.
2. Criminal groups often possess better resources and technology.

Poaching and Wildlife Crimes

1. Increasing pressure from illegal wildlife trade.
2. Greater risks during anti-poaching operations.

Forest Encroachment

1. Expansion of settlements, agriculture, and infrastructure projects.
2. Conflicts during eviction and enforcement activities.

Human-Wildlife Conflict

1. Forest officials are often required to intervene in sensitive conflict situations.

2. Increased exposure to physical risks.

3. Institutional Challenges

Staff Shortages

1. Large number of vacancies in forest departments.
2. Reduced capacity for surveillance and enforcement.

Inadequate Infrastructure

1. Shortage of vehicles, communication systems, and modern equipment.
2. Difficulties in monitoring remote forest areas.

Limited Training

1. Need for specialized training in cyber-enabled wildlife crimes, intelligence gathering, and modern conservation methods.

Poor Working Conditions

1. Long working hours in difficult terrain.
2. Limited welfare and safety measures.

4. Legal and Governance Challenges

Weak Enforcement Capacity

1. Low conviction rates in wildlife and forest-related crimes.
2. Delays in investigation and prosecution.

Jurisdictional Complexities

1. Multiple agencies involved in forest governance.
2. Coordination challenges among departments.

Balancing Rights and Conservation

1. Need to reconcile conservation goals with community rights and livelihoods.

5. Ecological and National Significance

Biodiversity Conservation

1. Forest staff protect endangered species and critical habitats.

Climate Change Mitigation

1. Healthy forests act as major carbon sinks.
2. Support India's climate commitments.

Water Security

1. Forest ecosystems regulate river systems and groundwater recharge.

National Security Dimension

1. Forest regions often overlap with ecologically sensitive and border areas.
2. Effective forest governance contributes to overall environmental security.

6. Socio-Economic Implications

Protection of Livelihoods

1. Millions depend on forests for income and subsistence.
2. Forest degradation directly affects vulnerable communities.

Sustainable Development

1. Conservation supports ecotourism, ecosystem services, and long-term economic resilience.

Public Welfare

1. Forests provide clean air, water, and ecological stability.

7. Measures Needed

Strengthen Human Resources

1. Fill vacancies in forest departments.
2. Increase recruitment of trained personnel.

Modernise Forest Protection

1. Deploy drones, satellite monitoring, GIS tools, and smart surveillance systems.
2. Improve communication and mobility infrastructure.

Enhance Capacity Building

1. Specialized training in wildlife crime investigation and technology-enabled monitoring.
2. Strengthen disaster and wildfire management skills.

Improve Welfare Measures

1. Better insurance, healthcare, housing, and risk allowances.
2. Provide safety equipment and emergency support systems.

Strengthen Legal Framework

1. Faster prosecution of forest and wildlife crimes.
2. Improve inter-agency coordination and intelligence sharing.

Community Participation

1. Promote Joint Forest Management and community-based conservation.
2. Strengthen local stewardship of forest resources.

Way Forward

Integrated Forest Governance

1. Combine conservation, livelihood security, and technological innovation.

Invest in Frontline Protection

1. Treat forest personnel as critical environmental security assets.
2. Ensure adequate financial and institutional support.

Build Climate-Resilient Forest Systems

1. Enhance ecosystem restoration and biodiversity conservation efforts.
2. Strengthen preparedness against emerging environmental threats.

Conclusion

India's forests are indispensable for ecological security, climate resilience, and sustainable development. Protecting forests requires not only strong laws and policies but also empowered, well-equipped, and adequately supported frontline forest personnel. Strengthening their capacity and welfare is essential for safeguarding the country's natural heritage and environmental future.

Source - <https://dailypioneer.com/news/slug-lite/deadly-duty-indias-forest-guardians-under-siege?year=2026>

Question

Q. Forest frontline staff play a crucial role in protecting India's ecological wealth. Examine the challenges faced by forest personnel and suggest measures to strengthen forest governance and conservation outcomes. (250 Words)

Introduction

India's forests support biodiversity, livelihoods, water security, and climate resilience. Forest frontline personnel constitute the primary enforcement and conservation mechanism protecting these

resources. However, increasing environmental crimes and institutional constraints have made their role increasingly challenging.

Challenges Faced by Forest Personnel

Organized Environmental Crimes

1. Illegal logging, poaching, and wildlife trafficking networks have become highly organized.
2. Criminal groups often possess greater financial and technological resources.

Human Resource Constraints

1. Persistent staff shortages reduce surveillance and enforcement capacity.
2. Large forest areas are monitored by limited personnel.

Inadequate Infrastructure

1. Lack of vehicles, communication equipment, and modern surveillance technologies.
2. Difficult terrain further complicates operations.

Legal and Administrative Issues

1. Slow prosecution and low conviction rates weaken deterrence.
2. Coordination challenges among multiple agencies.

Human–Wildlife and Community Conflicts

1. Forest officials often operate in conflict-prone environments.
2. Balancing conservation objectives with livelihood concerns remains difficult.

Measures Required

1. Fill vacancies and strengthen recruitment.
2. Deploy drones, GIS mapping, satellite monitoring, and smart patrolling systems.
3. Improve training in wildlife crime investigation and digital surveillance.
4. Enhance welfare measures including insurance, healthcare, and risk allowances.
5. Strengthen legal enforcement and inter-agency coordination.
6. Promote community participation in forest conservation.

Conclusion

Effective forest governance depends on capable and motivated frontline personnel. Strengthening their institutional capacity, welfare, and technological support is essential for conserving India's forests and ensuring long-term ecological security.

Source - <https://dailypioneer.com/news/slug-lite/deadly-duty-indias-forest-guardians-under-siege?year=2026>

4. Born Guilty (TS)

General Studies Paper - General Studies Paper I – Indian Society

Topic - Women Empowerment

Sub-Topic - Constitutional Rights of Women

Introduction

Despite constitutional guarantees of equality and legal safeguards, many women in India continue to face discrimination, dowry-related harassment, domestic violence, and economic exploitation. These practices are rooted in patriarchal social structures that treat women as dependents rather than equal citizens. Eliminating such discrimination is essential for achieving gender justice and inclusive development.

1. Understanding the Dowry Problem

Nature of Dowry

1. Dowry refers to money, property, gifts, or valuables transferred during marriage.
2. Although legally prohibited, the practice remains prevalent in many parts of India.

Social Acceptance

1. Often justified as tradition or family obligation.
2. Creates financial burdens on families with daughters.

Commercialisation of Marriage

1. Marriage is frequently viewed as an economic transaction.
2. Women's worth is often linked to material contributions brought into marriage.

2. Link Between Dowry and Violence

Dowry Harassment

1. Continuous demands for additional money, property, or valuables after marriage.
2. Psychological pressure and emotional abuse.

Domestic Violence

1. Physical, emotional, verbal, and economic abuse.
2. Women may face violence for failing to meet dowry expectations.

Extreme Consequences

1. Dowry deaths and abetment of suicide.
2. Long-term mental trauma and social exclusion.

3. Structural Causes of the Problem

Patriarchal Social Order

1. Women are often viewed as dependents rather than equal individuals.
2. Male dominance influences family and social relations.

Economic Dependence

1. Limited access to property ownership and independent income.
2. Financial vulnerability reduces bargaining power.

Son Preference

1. Preference for male children reinforces gender discrimination.
2. Daughters are often perceived as financial liabilities.

Weak Social Accountability

1. Families and communities frequently normalize abuse.
2. Social stigma discourages reporting.

4. Constitutional and Legal Safeguards

Constitutional Provisions

Article 14

1. Guarantees equality before law.

Article 15

1. Prohibits discrimination on grounds of sex.

Article 21

1. Protects the right to life and dignity.

Legal Measures

Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961

1. Prohibits giving and taking of dowry.

Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005

1. Provides civil remedies and protection orders.

Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS)

1. Contains provisions relating to cruelty and violence against women.

5. Challenges in Addressing Dowry and Domestic Violence

Underreporting

1. Fear of social stigma and family pressure.
2. Financial dependence discourages complaints.

Delayed Justice

1. Long legal processes affect victim confidence.
2. Limited access to legal aid and support systems.

Lack of Awareness

1. Many women remain unaware of legal protections.
2. Poor implementation at the grassroots level.

Social Normalisation

1. Certain forms of abuse are viewed as private family matters.
2. Community intervention remains weak.

6. Socio-Economic Consequences

Impact on Women

1. Loss of dignity, security, and autonomy.
2. Physical and mental health consequences.

Impact on Families

1. Financial stress due to dowry obligations.
2. Intergenerational transmission of discriminatory attitudes.

Impact on Society

1. Weakens gender equality and social justice.
2. Reduces women's participation in education, employment, and public life.

7. Measures Needed

Strengthen Women's Economic Empowerment

1. Promote education, skill development, and employment opportunities.
2. Improve access to property and inheritance rights.

Improve Legal Enforcement

1. Ensure speedy investigation and trial of cases.
2. Strengthen victim protection mechanisms.

Social and Behavioural Change

1. Challenge patriarchal norms and stereotypes.
2. Promote gender-sensitive education and awareness campaigns.

Community-Based Interventions

1. Encourage local institutions and civil society participation.
2. Strengthen support networks for victims.

Expand Support Services

1. Increase access to shelters, counselling, legal aid, and helplines.
2. Provide rehabilitation and financial assistance.

Way Forward

Adopt a Rights-Based Approach

1. Recognize women as equal citizens with independent rights and dignity.

Strengthen Institutional Support

1. Improve coordination among police, judiciary, social welfare agencies, and local governments.

Promote Gender-Sensitive Governance

1. Mainstream gender perspectives in policy formulation and implementation.

Encourage Social Reform

1. Create sustained campaigns against dowry and domestic violence.
2. Foster equal and respectful family relationships.

Conclusion

Dowry and domestic violence are not merely legal issues but manifestations of deeper social inequalities. Achieving gender justice requires strong legal enforcement, economic empowerment of women, and transformation of patriarchal social attitudes. A society that values equality, dignity, and respect for women is essential for India's progress toward inclusive and sustainable development.

Source - <https://www.thestatesman.com/opinion/born-guilty-1503601228.html>

Question

Q. Dowry-related violence continues to remain a major obstacle to gender justice in India despite legal safeguards. Examine the causes of the persistence of dowry practices and suggest measures to eliminate dowry-related violence. (250 Words)

Introduction

Dowry-related violence remains a serious social challenge in India despite constitutional guarantees of equality and laws prohibiting dowry. The persistence of patriarchal norms, economic dependence, and social acceptance of dowry practices continues to expose women to harassment and violence.

Causes of Persistence

Patriarchal Social Structure

1. Women are often treated as dependents and subordinate to men.
2. Marriage is frequently viewed as an economic arrangement.

Economic Factors

1. Dowry is perceived as a means of wealth transfer and status enhancement.
2. Limited economic independence of women reinforces vulnerability.

Social Acceptance

1. Community tolerance and social pressure sustain the practice.
2. Fear of stigma discourages reporting of abuse.

Weak Enforcement

1. Delays in investigation and judicial proceedings.
2. Limited awareness of legal rights and remedies.

Consequences

1. Domestic violence, harassment, and dowry deaths.
2. Psychological trauma and loss of dignity.
3. Reduced participation of women in social and economic life.

Measures Needed

1. Strengthen implementation of anti-dowry and domestic violence laws.
2. Promote women's education, employment, and property rights.
3. Expand legal aid, counselling, and shelter services.
4. Conduct sustained awareness campaigns to challenge patriarchal attitudes.
5. Encourage community participation in preventing gender-based violence.

Conclusion

The elimination of dowry-related violence requires both legal action and social transformation. Empowering women economically and challenging discriminatory social norms are essential for achieving gender equality and ensuring a life of dignity and security for all women.

Source - [https -//www.thestatesman.com/opinion/born-guilty-1503601228.html](https://www.thestatesman.com/opinion/born-guilty-1503601228.html)