### **CUSTODIAL DEATHS IN INDIA**

NEWS: The recent custodial death in Sivaganga district in Tamil Nadu, has once again drawn national attention to the issue of custodial practices and the treatment of individuals in police custody.

#### WHAT'S IN THE NEWS?

# **Understanding Custodial Deaths**

- Definition:
  - Custodial death refers to the **death of a person in police or judicial custody**. This may occur:
    - Before a trial (pre-trial detention)
    - During interrogation
    - After conviction (in judicial/prison custody)
- Causes:
  - May result from torture, assault, negligence, denial of medical treatment, or suspicious circumstances.
- Violation of Rights:
  - Such deaths undermine the rule of law and represent a grave abuse of state power.

### **Constitutional Provisions Violated**

- Article 20(1):
  - No person shall be punished except under the authority of law custodial deaths often involve extrajudicial punishment.
- Article 20(3):
  - **Protection against self-incrimination** custodial torture often forces confessions, violating this protection.
- Article 21:
  - **Right to life and personal liberty** custodial deaths directly contravene this fundamental right, as affirmed in multiple Supreme Court judgments.

## **Custodial Deaths in India: Data Snapshot**

- Magnitude of the Issue:
  - As per Parliamentary data, 11,656 custodial deaths were recorded between 2016–17 and 2021–22.
- State-wise Trends:

- Uttar Pradesh reported the highest number with 2,630 deaths.
- Among southern states, **Tamil Nadu** had the most at **490 deaths**.

# • Important Caveat:

• Not all custodial deaths are due to torture or police excess; some may result from natural causes, suicide, or medical conditions – though these too need proper investigation.

# **Reasons for High Custodial Deaths**

- 1. Legal Vacuum:
  - India has signed but not ratified the UN Convention Against Torture (UNCAT).
  - The Prevention of Torture Bill, 2010 lapsed and was never enacted; India lacks a dedicated anti-torture law.

### • 2. Procedural Flaws:

- Though the K.S. Basu vs. State of West Bengal (1997) judgment laid out safeguards (e.g., mandatory arrest registers, informing relatives), these are poorly implemented.
- Magisterial inquiries into deaths are often delayed and lack transparency.

### • 3. Institutional Incentives for Abuse:

- Confessions made under duress are **inadmissible under Section 25 of the Indian Evidence Act**, but still **informally used in policing**.
- Officers often rely on physical coercion due to lack of modern investigation tools.

## • 4. Weak Accountability:

- Custodial death investigations are often done by the **same police department**, leading to **conflict of interest**.
- **Judicial inquiries**, if ordered, are slow and rarely lead to convictions.

### • 5. Political Interference:

 Policing in India is heavily politicised, with officers often shielded by political patrons, leading to lack of accountability.

### **International Legal Frameworks**

- UN Charter (1945):
  - Emphasises **respect for human rights** and the dignity of individuals.
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948):
  - Prohibits torture and degrading treatment.

- Ensures the **presumption of innocence** until proven guilty.
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 1966:
  - Binds states to protect the right to life and prohibit torture.
- Nelson Mandela Rules (2015):
  - UN Standard Minimum Rules for the **humane treatment of prisoners**, laying out minimum conditions of confinement and protection.
- European Convention on Human Rights (1950):
  - Provides a model for access to justice and remedy against torture or illegal detention.

#### **Recommendations for Reform**

### A. Legal Reforms

- Law Commission Reports:
  - **69th Report (1977):** Suggested admitting confessions made before senior police officers (Section 26A of Evidence Act not enacted).
  - 273rd Report (2017): Recommended a specific anti-torture legislation, calling existing safeguards insufficient.
- Need for New Legislation:
  - India must **enact a stand-alone anti-torture law** to comply with UNCAT and improve protections.

### **B.** Police Reforms

- Prakash Singh Case (2006) Directives:
  - Separate law and order from investigation functions
  - Create Police Complaints Authorities at the state and district levels.
  - Ensure tenure security for police leadership to reduce political interference.

### C. Technological and Operational Reforms

- Mandatory CCTV Installation:
  - Interrogation rooms and lock-ups must be **under continuous surveillance**, with footage preserved for accountability.
- Use of Body Cameras and Digital Logs:
  - Ensure evidence of arrest, movement, and treatment of detainees is recorded.

#### D. Judicial and Procedural Reforms

- Fast-track courts:
  - Establish **dedicated fast-track courts** to try cases of custodial torture and deaths.

## • Independent Investigations:

• Assign **independent investigation agencies or judicial officers** to probe custodial deaths.

## Strict Penalties:

• Implement **stringent punishment for complicit officers**, including dismissal, criminal charges, and loss of pension.

# **Concluding Observations**

- Deep-rooted Issue:
  - Custodial deaths represent not just violations of human rights, but failures of legal, institutional, and cultural safeguards.
- Need for Accountability:
  - Without **effective oversight and independent monitoring**, perpetrators escape justice and systemic abuse persists.
- Urgent Action Required:
  - India must **uphold its constitutional values** and fulfil its **international obligations** by:
    - Enacting a comprehensive anti-torture law
    - Ensuring transparency, accountability, and reform
    - Strengthening police professionalism and citizen trust in the justice system

 ${\bf Source:} \ \underline{https://www.thehindu.com/data/custodial-deaths-police-convictions-remain-zero-in-tn-and-beyond/article 69766995.ece}$