1. Market Borrowing and Municipal Readiness- Economy

As India explores market-based urban financing through the Urban Challenge Fund, concerns have emerged over whether Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) possess the capacity required to manage debt responsibly

Scale of Urban Transformation

India's urban population is projected to exceed 600 million by 2030, making urbanisation one of the defining structural shifts of the coming decade. Rapid urban expansion is increasing demand for water supply, sanitation, waste management, housing, public transport, drainage systems, and public health infrastructure.

Urban areas contribute a dominant share of India's GDP, yet urban governance institutions remain fiscally weak. The infrastructure financing requirement for Indian cities runs into several lakh crore rupees over the next decade, creating a persistent financing gap between needs and available resources.

Constitutional Framework and Fiscal Imbalance

The 74th Constitutional Amendment Act, 1992 provided constitutional status to Urban Local Bodies (ULBs).

It assigned 18 functional responsibilities under the 12th Schedule, including-

1. Urban planning
2. Water supply
3. Solid waste management
4. Public health
5. Slum improvement
6. Urban poverty alleviation

However, fiscal decentralisation has not kept pace with functional decentralisation. In many States, ULBs remain dependent on discretionary transfers rather than assured revenue streams. This has resulted in a structural imbalance where responsibility is decentralised but revenue authority remains centralised at the State level.

The Urban Financing Gap

1. Most ULBs depend heavily on State Finance Commission transfers, Central Finance Commission grants, and scheme-based funding.
2. Own-source revenues remain low and unstable.
3. Capital-intensive infrastructure projects require long-term financing, but ULBs lack adequate internal accruals.
4. The mismatch between expenditure obligations and revenue capacity creates chronic underinvestment in urban infrastructure.

What is the Urban Challenge Fund?

The Urban Challenge Fund is a reform-linked financing mechanism designed to incentivise governance improvements.

It aims to reward cities that demonstrate-

1. Improved financial transparency
2. Better service delivery standards
3. Strengthened accounting practices

4. Enhanced revenue mobilisation

The fund seeks to improve creditworthiness of cities so they can access-

1. Institutional loans
2. Municipal bonds
3. Market-based borrowing

It complements existing initiatives such as-

1. Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT)
2. Smart Cities Mission

Unlike grant-based schemes, it links financial support to governance reform and fiscal discipline.

Significance of Municipal Borrowing

Borrowing from capital markets enables cities to undertake large infrastructure projects without waiting for uncertain grants. Municipal bonds provide long-term funds suitable for infrastructure projects with long gestation periods. Access to credit markets strengthens fiscal decentralisation envisioned under the 74th Constitutional Amendment.

Market-based financing encourages financial discipline, transparency, and better project preparation. Successful municipal borrowing can crowd in private investment into urban infrastructure.

Structural Challenges Facing Urban Local Bodies

Weak Administrative Capacity

1. Many ULBs lack trained professionals in public finance, urban planning, and project management.
2. Preparation of detailed project reports is often inadequate, reducing investor confidence.
3. Delays in auditing and outdated accounting systems weaken financial credibility.
4. Absence of digital financial management systems limits transparency and efficiency.

Weak Own-Revenue Base

Property tax, user charges, and local levies constitute the core revenue base.

These sources contribute only 20–25% of potential municipal income due to-

1. Poor assessment practices
2. Political reluctance to revise tax rates
3. Inefficient collection mechanisms

Heavy reliance on State governments limits fiscal autonomy and accountability.

Transparency and Governance Issues

1. Financial statements are often delayed or incomplete.
2. Budget disclosures lack standardisation across cities.
3. Political considerations may influence fiscal decisions such as tax exemptions or under-pricing of services.
4. Weak disclosure norms discourage institutional investors.

Shallow Municipal Bond Market

India’s municipal bond market remains small relative to global standards. Only a limited number of financially strong cities have successfully issued bonds.

Smaller towns face-

1. Higher perceived credit risk
2. Limited investor appetite

3. Higher borrowing costs

There is also a risk of unsustainable debt accumulation if borrowing precedes governance reform.

Way Ahead

Build Institutional Capacity

Create professional municipal cadres specialising in-

1. Finance
2. Urban planning
3. Project management

Strengthen digital accounting systems. Ensure timely audits and adoption of accrual-based accounting.
Develop internal technical expertise to prepare high-quality project reports.

Strengthen Revenue Systems

1. Modernise property tax administration using GIS mapping and digital valuation tools.
2. Ensure rational and transparent user charges with safeguards for vulnerable populations.
3. Implement State Finance Commission recommendations effectively.
4. Expand non-tax revenue streams through land value capture, impact fees, and public-private partnerships.

Adopt a Phased Borrowing Strategy

1. Permit borrowing only after meeting clear governance and financial reform benchmarks.
2. Promote pooled financing models to allow smaller cities to access markets collectively.
3. Introduce credit enhancement mechanisms such as partial guarantees to reduce investor risk.
4. Establish debt sustainability frameworks to prevent fiscal stress.

Strengthen Regulatory and Market Ecosystem

1. Improve credit rating transparency.
2. Standardise disclosure norms for municipal financial reporting.
3. Encourage long-term institutional investors such as pension funds to participate in municipal bond markets.

Concluding Remarks

The Urban Challenge Fund has the potential to act as a catalyst for strengthening fiscal decentralisation and improving urban governance.

However, borrowing should follow structural reforms, not precede them. Without administrative capacity, transparent financial systems, and stable revenue streams, expanded market access may transform cities into debt-dependent entities rather than sustainable growth engines.

For India's urbanisation to become economically productive and socially inclusive, Urban Local Bodies must evolve into financially empowered, professionally managed, and accountable institutions capable of supporting long-term urban transformation.

Source- [https-//www.thehindu.com/opinion/editorial/cities-of-debt-on-the-urban-challenge-fund/article70639119.ece](https://www.thehindu.com/opinion/editorial/cities-of-debt-on-the-urban-challenge-fund/article70639119.ece)